TAW

OCT 0 3 2006	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION O LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,994 10/19/2004	Chiu-Hao Cheng		5993
43333 7590 07/13/2006		EXAM	IINER
ZEROPLUS TECHNOLOGY CO. 2F-4, NO. 184, SEC. 4, CHUNG HSI	NGUYEN, KIM T		
TAIPEI,	AO EAST ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN		3713	
		DATEMAN ED 07/13/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/711,994	CHENG ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kim T. Nguyen	3713
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	÷	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Some * c) Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of the priority Copies of the priority	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
2) Not	ent(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) over No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/711,994

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

In the specification page 1, after the Title of the invention, the section heading "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" should be inserted.

In the specification page 1, the updated data such as "now issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,827,648" should be inserted to the co-pending patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 13 recites the limitation "the amplifier" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b) Claim 14 recites the limitation "said microphone" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis

Application/Control Number: 10/711,994

Art Unit: 3713

added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,827,648. This is a double patenting rejection.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 8-14 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,827,648.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,994 Page 4

Art Unit: 3713

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 8-14 of the present application disclose the same subject matter taught in claims 1-7 of patent No. 6,827,648 in broader scope by eliminating an amplifier and a microphone in the wireless receiver. Further, implementing a D/A converter and A/D converter in a receiver for converting signals would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 8-12 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the double patenting rejection(s), set forth in this Office action.
- 6. Claims 13-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the double patenting rejection(s), set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to show or fairly suggest a wireless controller for a video game player as set forth in independent claim 8. Specifically, the wireless controller comprises a wireless receiver connected to the video game player comprising a first control circuit, a first communication interface, a first wireless receiving/transmitting circuit, a first memory chip receiver, a second memory chip receiver, a digital/analogue converter and an analogue/digital converter, wherein the first wireless receiving/transmitting circuit, the first memory chip receiver, the second memory chip receiver, the digital/analogue converter and the analogue/digital

Application/Control Number: 10/711,994 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

converter are connected to the first control circuit; a sound transformer connected to another side of the wireless receiver comprising a second control circuit and headset jack, and a second communication interface is connected to the second control circuit, wherein the headset jack is connected to the wireless receiver through a sound transmission wire, and the second communication interface is conjunct in the first memory chip receiver; and a wireless controller comprising a third control circuit and a second wireless receiving/transmitting circuit is connected to the third control circuit, wherein the first wireless receiving/transmitting circuit can communicate with

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday during business hours.

the second wireless receiving/transmitting circuit.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on 571-272-7147. The central official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

kn

Date: July 5, 2006

Kim Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3713

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 10/711,994 CHENG ET AL. Notice of References Cited Art Unit Examiner Page 1 of 1 3713 Kim T. Nguyen

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,827,648 B2	12-2004	Peng et al.	463/36
*	В	US-6,803,676 B2	10-2004	Tanaka et al.	307/28
*	С	US-6,684,062 B1	01-2004	Gosior et al.	455/73
*	D	US-6,280,327 B1	08-2001	Leifer et al.	463/39
*		US-6,238,289 B1	05-2001	Sobota et al.	463/39
-	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-1			
	М	US-			
	EOPEIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS				

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
-	N					
	0				-	
	Р					
L	Q					
	R		ļ			
	s					
L	Т			NON DATENT DOCU	MENTS	

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	v	
	w	
	x	(See MDED 5 707 05(a))

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

P.O. BOX 1450

COLOCE

SUNTENT TO LENT STATE TO STATE

64 AM No hadress Juknown.

Taiper International A

RECEIVED

OCT 0 3 2006

JSPTO MAIL CENTER

95. 7. 26